

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/689,746	10/13/00	GIL		G	630-1162P	
002292 MM91/1003 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	EXAMINER		
			*-13	LOKE, S		
PO BOX 747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHURCH	VA 22040-0	1747				
				2811		
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•								
•	(Air)	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/689,74	46	GIL, GYOUNG-SEON				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Steven L		2811				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addresses Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 October 2000</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) 🛛 (4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ (6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
· 1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/139,266</u> .							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/689,746

Art Unit: 2811

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

What are reference numerals [60a, 60b] in fig. 2?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification never discloses the insulation film is formed on the upper portion of the stepped substrate as claimed in claim 3.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Kang.

In regards to claim 1, Kang shows all the elements of the claimed invention in fig. 5.

It is a thin film transistor, comprises: a stepped substrate [31, 32] provided with a sidewall between upper and lower portions thereof; an active layer formed on the stepped substrate; a gate insulation film [36] formed on the active layer; a gate

Application/Control Number: 09/689,746

Art Unit: 2811

electrode [37a] formed on the gate insulation film corresponding to the sidewall of the substrate; an insulation film [35a] formed on the gate insulation film [36] between the gate electrode [37a] and the lower portion of the substrate [31, 32]; and impurity regions [34b, 34a] in the active layer corresponding to the upper and lower portions of the substrate.

In regards to claim 3, Kang shows the insulation film [35a] is formed on the lower portions, and on the sidewall of the substrate.

In regards to claim 4, Kang shows the active layer is a semiconductor film.

In regards to claim 6, Kang shows a portion of the active layer corresponding to the gate electrode is a channel region [34c], a portion thereof corresponding to the insulation film [35a] is an offset region.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang.

In regards to claim 2, it would have been obvious for the stepped substrate is formed of an insulating material because it is a conventional material to support a thin film

transistor.

In regards to claim 5, it would have been obvious for the insulation film is an SOG (spin-on-glass) because it is a conventional insulation material for transistor device.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,746

Art Unit: 2811

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (703) 308-4920. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:45 am to 5:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

sl September 30, 2001 Steven Loke
Primary Examiner

tever Loke